AS A. T. BURCH SEES IT

CPYRGHT CPYRGHT

Ransom Fund Smacks of Blackma Cuban

AYS AND means used to raise the Cuban ransom fund have caused a good deal of uneasiness expressed in newspaper comment.



Cross, a charitable organion of long history and

I am not disturbed

standing.

such as the United States fered since the suppression ary pirates early in the 19th

> nistration at Washington has to avoid any overt acts that k like the payment of an d attempt of Guban refugees

to invade the island in A with American sponsorship were never fully carried out. The invasion was un according to American p

Not only did this go ment itself provide n overnment's indirect particicover for the strike, it di pation as such, and I would not have been disturbed by carry out the final air a direct participation if it had were explicitly a curred. ized before the attack launched, and which The President, commandern-chief of our armed forces and constitutional director of

meager equipment of lete U.S. planes would permitted. oreign policy, was responsible or initiating the abortive Bay Pigs invasion. He was **DESPITE THE Pres** sponsible for failing to care to avoid technic that would place the ra irsue the plans recommended our military leaders and in the classification telligence service.

demnity, some flavor lingers in the air. The attempt might have iled in any case, unless fully upported by a military effort he United States was not hen prepared to make. It robably should not have been At the least, the gover contributing indirec the tax concessions it h tended to the drug firm others that contributed dertaken at all when it was allegedly private chareffort. In form, the con ndertaken. tions were made to the

ailure Was 1 National One

The failure was a national and if Congress had en willing (which it may not Hint Pressure Put on Donating Firms



R. KENNEDY

perly have been paid out the national Treasury.

ndemnity is a dirty word. perhaps we all owed it, of us owed it, certainly, as the as any particular indiual or any particular+coration.

THE MANUFACTURERS drugs and the suppliers of dical and hospital equipnt did not spontanedusly simultaneously think *un by themselves the idea of

They were importuned by of the Department of tice.

some instances. Atty. Robert Kennedy, the sident's brother, intervened ectly. In others, representaes of the solicited firms re told they could talk to obby" if they wanted his rsonal assurance that the tax ncessions would be approved the Department of Justice.

WHEN THE attorney gen-

al of the United States teams the Treasury Departdays time, smacks of

In the complexity of today's x laws, the most scrupulous nd innocent of corporations ould be expensively harassed y the Treasury, plus the atprincy general.

The courts might finally ate taxpaying corporation, but could cost a lot of money of attorneys' fees and other penses to procure the testionial from the court of final sort, after lengthy proceed-

GENERALLY speaking, the orney general of the United ates and the commissioner of ternal revenue are about the st people in the country who

In my lifetime, I have hown some old-fashioned wspaper editors who, while litorially supporting good suses, refused personally to licit money for any of them.

They did not want the prospects" to fear reprisal if y refused or hope for favoreditorial treatment if they ntributed.

The chances are that an edior or publisher scrupulous nough to consider this queson would not really deal out by rewards or penalties whatver. But the opportunities of ne least scrupulous to reward punish are trivial compared



KEFAUVER

ith the powers of the Internal evenue Service and the Jus e Department

4ll Arrangements Not Made Public

The public does not know If the arrangements that were inally made with respect to x deductions on these con ibutions. There have been eports of assurances to manu cturers that they could deluct from their taxable inalue of the products, but the etail value.

The normal corporation tax ite is 52 per cent of net rofits.

Depending on the special rrangements, the contribu-ons of the suppliers will cost em 48 per cent of the value less than that.

If they can charge retail rices, the final cost would deend on the markup. If it was igh enough, they could break ven; if it was extraordinarily igh, they might even come ut ahead.

It is uncomfortable to fine

depending, not just on the general rules, but on arrange ments negotiated-or dictated

I PRESUME—and this is only a presumption-that all or most of the suppliers did make some contribution not wholly compensated by tax deductions.

But if so, these selected individuals were being called on to carry an obligation that was really a public obligation, and not the obligation of individual persons or firms selected high government officials.

THE DRUG industry has een the subject of special tack by Sen. Estes Kefauver but to year. Estes Relativer by and some others ho have charged it with rice fixing and other viola-ons of the anti-trust laws.

Kefauver's views do not m to have been very widely ared even among members Congress, and the adminration has showed no great terest in pursuing his ob-

Still, he created enough ise to focus more than rdinary attention on this instry.

Do the contributing supiers imagine, truly or falsely. at they will enjoy some proction they might not other-ise have got? If they do not t the protection, will they of cheated and betrayed?

Whatever the outcome, the rocedure of the Internal evenue Service and the Deartment of Justice in this use is not an example that ught to be repeated often of

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT